Case 5:21-cr-00437-OLG Document 57 Filed 03/04/24 Page 1 of 2

March 04, 2024 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BY: MAM
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

NO. SA-21-CR-00437-OLG-1

ROBERT LAWRENCE JEFFERY

ORDER

9999999

The Court has considered United States Magistrate Judge Elizabeth S. Chestney's Report and Recommendation (R&R), filed July 19, 2023, concerning Defendant Robert Lawrence Jeffery's Motion to Dismiss the Indictment. (See R&R, Dkt. No. 48.) A party who wishes to object to a Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations must serve and file written objections within fourteen days. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Defendant, through counsel, was electronically served with a copy of the R&R on July 19, 2023, and timely filed his objections on July 27, 2023 (see Dkt. No. 49).

When a party objects to a Magistrate Judge's R&R, the Court conducts a de novo review as to those portions of the report or recommendations to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); U.S. v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989). Frivolous, conclusory, or general objections need not be considered by the district court. Battle v. U.S. Parole Comm'n, 834 F.2d 419, 421 (5th Cir. 1987). Any portions of the Magistrate Judge's findings or recommendations that were not objected to are reviewed for clear error. Wilson, 864 F.2d at 1221.

The Court has reviewed the entirety of the R&R de novo and agrees with the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendation. Accordingly, the Court ACCEPTS the Magistrate Judge's R&R (Dkt. No. 48) and, for the reasons set forth therein, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Indictment (Dkt. No. 38) is **DENIED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 4th day of March, 2024.

ORLANDO L. GARCIA United States District Judge